

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,502	12/05/2003	Richard Charles Dougherty	A01480	9117
21898	7590 02/16/2006		EXAM	INER
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399			MRUK, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/728,502	DOUGHERTY, RICHARD CHARLES			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian P. Mruk	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 D</u>	<u>ecember 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
ا(٥	ciaim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/aṛe: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,		cammer, Note the attached office	7.00.011.01.101.11.1.1.0			
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· <u>-</u>	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
· —	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/728,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed December 9, 2005. Applicant has amended claim 1. Claims 2-7 have been cancelled. Currently, claim 1 remains pending in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action, Paper No. 20050602.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's amendment dated December 9, 2005 has resulted in the following election requirement. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Instant claim 1 has the following distinct species for the solid component:

- A) inorganic solids
- B) organic solids

Instant claim 1 has the following distinct species for the emulsion polymer:

- A) emulsion homopolymers
- B) emulsion copolymers

. д

Application/Control Number: 10/728,502

Art Unit: 1751

The species are independent or distinct because prior art that would render obvious or anticipate one species would not necessarily render obvious or anticipate the other species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. During a telephone conversation with Stephen Johnson on February 15, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the species of organic solids and emulsion copolymers. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,502

Art Unit: 1751

- 5. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Guo et al, EP 1219702, is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guo et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,492,320, is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 7. The rejection of claim 1 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,492,320 is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 8. The provisional rejection of claim 1 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/728,524 is maintained for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed December 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Guo et al, EP 1219702, and Guo et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,492,320, do not teach detergent compositions that contain the polymer granules, as required in newly amended claim 1. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically, Guo et al specifically discloses that the multifunctional pellet additive can be used as a laundry detergent or a hard surface cleaner (see page 12, lines 43-46 of

Application/Control Number: 10/728,502

Art Unit: 1751

EP 1219702 and col. 16, lines 32-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,492,320), per the requirements of the instant invention. Therefore, the examiner maintains that instant claim 1 is still anticipated by both Guo et al, EP 1219702, and Guo et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,492,320.

The examiner notes that applicant will deal with the issue of the double patenting rejections at the time of the allowance of the pending claims.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:00AM-5:30PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,502 Page 6

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BPM

Brian P Mruk February 15, 2006 Brian P Mruk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751